

### Comments on Transition from Classical to Quantum Mechanics in Generalized Coordinates via the Covariant Derivative

GARY R. GRUBER

Hofstra University and The New York Academy of Science\*

Received: 27 February 1973

Many people have asked me in connection with my previous articles why one could not make a transition from classical to quantum theory in generalized coordinates via the covariant derivative. I will show why one cannot, in this note, and also show an interesting connection between the covariant derivative operator and the 'measurable' generalized momentum operator.

Consider the classical Hamiltonian of a free particle in generalized coordinates,  $H, H$  is given by (Brillouin, 1949)

$$H = \sum_{m,n} g^{mn} p_m p_n \quad (1)$$

where  $p_m$  is the canonical momentum and  $g^{mn}$  is a function of the generalized coordinates  $\{q_i\}$ . In Cartesian coordinates, in order to produce the quantum Hamiltonian operator, one merely substitutes for  $p_m, p_m = -i\hbar\partial/\partial x_m$ , into equation (1). It would seem that in generalized coordinates, in order to produce the quantum Hamiltonian operator, one would substitute in equation (1),  $p_m = -i\hbar D/Dq_m$ , where  $D/Dq_m$  denotes the covariant derivative† given by (Brillouin, 1949)

$$\frac{D}{Dq_m} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} - \sum_n \Gamma_{ih}^n \left( = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial q_m} \right)$$

where  $\Gamma_{ih}^n$  is the familiar Christoffel symbol used in Riemannian geometry. It is both interesting and instructive to note that no matter what ordering

\* Mailing Address—The New York Academy of Sciences, 2 East 63rd St., N.Y. 10021 N.Y.

† It is seen from Gruber (1971) that one cannot simply substitute for  $p_m$ , the operator  $p_m = -i\hbar\partial/\partial q_m$ .

Copyright © 1973 Plenum Publishing Company Limited. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of Plenum Publishing Company Limited.

we choose for the operators  $D/Dq_m, D/Dq_n$ , and  $g^{mn}$  in equation (1), that is

$$H_Q = \sum_{m,n} g^{mn} \frac{D}{Dq_m} \frac{D}{Dq_n} \quad \text{or} \quad H_Q = \sum_{m,n} \frac{D}{Dq_m} g^{mn} \frac{D}{Dq_n}, \text{ etc.}$$

even if we take Hermitian parts of  $H_Q$ , we will not arrive at the correct quantum Hamiltonian, which is a transformation from  $-\hbar^2 \nabla^2$  to generalized coordinates. For example if

$$H_Q = \text{Hermitian part of } \left\{ -\hbar^2 \sum_{m,n} g^{mn} \frac{D}{Dq_m} \frac{D}{Dq_n} \right\}$$

we find

$$H_Q = H' - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} \left[ \frac{\partial g^{mn}}{\partial q_n} \right] \quad (g^{-1} = \sqrt{\det g^{ik}})$$

The correct quantum Hamiltonian  $H'$  is given by (Blokhistev, 1964)

$$H' = \sum_{m,n} g^{mn} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_m \partial q_n} + \frac{\partial g^{mn}}{\partial q_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} + \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g^{mn}}{\partial q_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m}$$

Thus there is an extra term in  $H_Q$ , namely, the term

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} \left[ \frac{\partial g^{mn}}{\partial q_n} \right]$$

The interesting and rather mystifying thing is that the 'measurable' momentum operator which is the Hermitian part of  $p_m = -i\hbar\partial/\partial q_m$  (see Gruber, 1972a), is the Hermitian part of the covariant derivative operator.

Proof: In our previous notation (Gruber, 1972a, b), the Hermitian part of  $-i\hbar D/Dq_i$ , that is,  $[-i\hbar D/Dq_i]^H$  is given as‡

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ -i\hbar \frac{D}{Dq_i} \right]^H &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( -i\hbar \frac{D}{Dq_i} \right)^\dagger - i\hbar \frac{D}{Dq_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( p_i + i\hbar \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} \right)^\dagger + \left( p_i + i\hbar \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_i^\dagger - i\hbar \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} + p_i + i\hbar \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (p_i^\dagger + p_i) = (p_i)^H \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

#### References

Blokhistev, D. I. (1964). *Quantum Mechanics*. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, Holland.  
 Brillouin, L. (1949). *Les tenseurs en mecanique et en elasticite*. Masson et Cie, Paris.  
 Gruber, G. R. (1971). *Foundations of Physics*, 1, 3, 227.  
 Gruber, G. R. (1972a). *American Journal of Physics*, 40, 10, 1537.  
 Gruber, G. R. (1972b). *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 6, No. 1, p. 31.

‡ Here,  $A^\dagger$  denotes adjoint of  $A$ .